

SCALAR POTENTIAL MODEL OF SPIRAL GALAXY H I ROTATION CURVES AND ROTATION CURVE ASYMMETRY

John C. Hodge Blue Ridge Community College, 100 College Dr., Flat Rock, NC 28731-7756, USA

ABSTRACT

A scalar potential model (SPM) was developed from considerations of galaxy clusters and of redshift. The SPM is applied to **H I** rotation curves (RCs) and RC asymmetry of spiral galaxies. The resulting model adds the force of a scalar potential of the host galaxy and of neighboring galaxies to the Newtonian rotation velocity equation. The RC is partitioned radially into regions. The form of the equation for each parameter of each region is the same with differing proportionality constants. Integer values of each equation are determined empirically for each galaxy. Among the sample galaxies, the global properties of galaxies of B band luminosity, of position, and of orientation determine the RC and RC asymmetry. The Source of the scalar field acts as a monopole at distances of a few kpc from the center of spiral galaxies. The scalar potential field causes Newtonian mechanics to considerably underestimate the mass in galaxies, which is the "missing mass problem". The SPM is consistent with RC and RC asymmetry observations of the sample spiral galaxies.

Keywords: Galaxies, kinematics and dynamics, fundamental parameters, interactions of galaxies, spiral galaxies. PACS numbers: 98.65.-r, 98.70.Vc, 98.80.Es

INTRODUCTION

The discrepancy between the Newtonian estimated mass in spiral galaxies and the observation of star and gas kinematics is well established. The rotation curve (RC) relates the square of the tangential rotation velocity v (km s⁻¹) of particles in orbit around spiral galaxies to their galactocentric radius R (kpc). The RC is measured along the major axis. Traditionally, the focus has been on accounting for H I RCs that are flat in the outer region immediately beyond the knee (OR). However, observations also include rising RCs, declining RCs, an abrupt change in slope at the extreme outer region (EOR) in many galaxies, and rotational asymmetry with nonrelativistic velocities. In Battaner and Florido (2000), Ferrarese and Merritt (2002), Ferrarese (2002), Merritt and Ferrarese (2001), Ghez et al. (2000), Sofue et al. (1999), Sofue and Rubin (2001), Takamiya and Sofue (2000) the researches provide a summary of the knowledge of RCs. The RC is an excellent tool to evaluate galaxy models.

The RC differs for different particles. For instance, the **H I** RC and the RCs of stars as shown by the H α line for NGC 4321 (Sempere *et al.*, 1995) differ in the rapidly rising region before the knee (RR) and approach each other in the OR as shown in Figure 1.

The stars near the center of galaxies have rotation

velocities more than an order of magnitude larger than v at the knee of the **H I** RC. The decline of the stellar RCs from the center is Keplerian (Ghez *et al.*, 2000; Ferrarese and Merritt, 2002). The v continues to decline to the radius R_{Δ} (kpc) at which the rotation velocity v_{Δ} is at a minimum. The v_{Δ} is approximately an order of magnitude



smaller than v at the knee of the **H I** RC.

Fig. 1. The plots of the square of the rotation velocity v^2 (10³ km² s⁻²) versus galactocentric radius *R* (kpc) of the **H** I RC (filled diamonds) and H α RC (filled squares) for NGC4321 (Sempere *et al.*, 1995).

The **H I** RC rarely extends inward to near R_{Δ} . When drawing the **H I** RC, a straight line is often drawn from the innermost measured point through the center of the

Corresponding author e-mail: jchodge@frontier.com

galaxy. This practice is inconsistent with stellar observations (Schödel *et al.*, 2002) and is incorrect (Sofue and Rubin, 2001).

The evidence of affecting RC shape by the cluster environment appears contradictory (Whitmore *et al.*, 1988; Dale *et al.*, 2001). These studies concentrated on H α RCs. The **H I** RC usually extends farther outward than H α RCs. Therefore, the **H I** RCs are more sensitive to neighboring galaxy's effects.

As larger samples of RCs have been measured, researchers attempted to produce a quantitative description of an empirically derived, average RC. Persic *et al.* (1996) claimed the existence of a universal RC (URC) for a large sample revealed by the feature that only luminosity of the host galaxy dictated the RC. Independent work has confirmed much of the URC (Catinella *et al.*, 2006). Other researchers have discussed the inadequacy of the average RC (Courteau, 1997; Garrido *et al.*, 2004; Willick, 1999).

Roscoe (2002) used a dynamical partitioning process and found that the dynamics in the outer part of optical RCs are constrained to occupy one of four *discrete dynamical classes*. The classes are determined by the absolute magnitude, surface brightness, and a parameter for each optical RC that is an exponent of the radius at which v is measured.

Galaxies with a small asymmetry in the RC of a few km s⁻¹ are well known (Shane and Bieger-Smith, 1966). Large RC asymmetries are less appreciated (Jog, 2002). Because the observational data from each side of a galaxy RC are generally averaged, only highly asymmetric cases are recognized. RC asymmetry appears to be the norm rather than the exception (Jog, 2002). Weinberg (1995) and Jog (1997) proposed the implied mass asymmetry is due to an imposed lopsided potential caused by galaxy interaction. Dale *et al.* (2001) found RC asymmetry of early type galaxies falls by a factor of two between the inner and outer regions of clusters. The formation, evolution, and long-term maintenance of galactic, kinematical asymmetry remains a mystery.

With the improved **H** I measuring equipment in the 1990s, the **H** I RC observations detected an abrupt change in the EOR in many galaxies, see the figures in (Catinella *et al.*, 2006). This characteristic is ignored in current models. For instance, Pizzella *et al.* (2005) considered this factor as a reason to exclude galaxies from the sample.

Spectra coming from HII regions are correlated systematically with R and little else (Binney and Merrifield, 1998, pp. 516-522). The interstellar abundances of metals in a disk galaxy decrease with

increasing radius (Tadross, 2003). Also, the absolute B band magnitude $M_{\rm B}$ (mag.) of a galaxy is correlated with the metallicity obtained by extrapolating [O/H] within the disk to the galactic center. Low luminosity galaxies tend to be metal poorer than high luminosity galaxies.

If most of the matter of a galaxy is in the bulge region, classical Newtonian mechanics predicts a Keplerian declining RC in the disk. The observation of rising RCs in the RR and of rising, flat, or declining RC's in the OR poses a perplexing problem. Postulates to model the RCs have included the existence of a large mass $M_{\rm DM}$ of nonbaryonic, dark matter (DM) and dark energy; the variation of the gravitational acceleration R; the variation of the gravitational constant G with R; and the existence of an added term to the Newtonian gravitation equation opposing gravitational attraction.

Repulsive DM has been proposed to provide a repulsive force that has the form of an ideal, relativistic gas (Goodman, 2000). In DM models the rising RCs of low surface brightness galaxies (LSBs) require larger relative amounts of DM than flat or declining RCs. This contradiction to the successful Tully-Fisher relation (TF) (Tully and Fisher, 1977) is well established (Sanders and McGaugh, 2002). Another mystery of the DM paradigm is the apparent strong luminous-to-dark matter coupling that is inferred from the study of RCs (Persic *et al.*, 1996).

Currently, the most popular modified gravity model is the Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) model (Bottema *et al.*, 2002; Sanders and McGaugh, 2002). MOND suggests gravitational acceleration changes with galactic distance scales by a fixed parameter related to the mass-to-luminosity ratio with units of acceleration. MOND appears limited to the disk region of spiral galaxies. MOND requires the distance for NGC 2841 and NGC 3198 to be considerably larger than the Cepheid-based distance D_a (Mpc) (Bottema *et al.*, 2002). Appling MOND to cosmological scales is being investigated (Bekenstein, 2004; Sanders, 2006). MOND may represent a effective force law arising from a broader force law (McGaugh, 1999).

The possible variation of *G* or $|\hat{G}/G| \sim H_o$, where H_o is the Hubble constant, were first proposed by Dirac. This was followed by the Brans-Dicke theory of gravity that postulated *G* behaves as the reciprocal of a scalar field whose source is all matter in the universe (Narlikar, 2002). More recently Brownstein and Moffat (2006) have proposed a variation of general relativity in which *G* varies with *R*. This model has been applied to RCs (Brownstein and Moffat, 2006; Moffat, 2005) and the postulated gravitational radiation of binary pulsars. This model has results similar to the MOND model. However, it uses a distance *D* (Mpc) for NGC 2841 of 9.5 Mpc rather than $D_a = 14.07$ Mpc (Macri *et al.*, 2001).

Alternate models of the RC posit the existence of another term in the Newtonian equation resulting from a scalar field of a "fifth force", a scalar field coupled to matter, or a static scalar field coupled to DM (static in analogy to an electrostatic field caused by charged particles.) Padmanabhan and Choudhury (2002) explored the possibility of a scalar field acting as dark matter. The usual "fifth force" models posit a Yukawa-like potential (Bertolami and Páramos, 2005). Fay (2004) postulated minimally coupled and massive scalar fields are responsible for flat RCs, only. Mbelek (2004) suggested a real scalar field, minimally coupled to gravity sourced by baryonic and dark matter may also reproduce flat and rising RCs with the assumption that the dark halo mass density dominates the baryonic mass density. The free parameters are a turnover radius r_0 , the maximum rotation velocity, which is at r_0 , and an *integer*. If a natural theoretical explanation could be found for the relation between r_0 and the positive integer, the integer may be the only free parameter. The postulate of an unknown "fifth force" is as likely as the postulate of unknown and undetected DM.

The scalar potential model (SPM) was created to be consistent with the observation of the morphology-radius relation of galaxies in clusters, of the intragalactic medium of a cluster of galaxies, and of the flow of matter from spiral galaxies to elliptical galaxies (Hodge, 2006). The SPM was applied to redshift observations and found a qualitative explanation of discrete redshift observations. The SPM suggests the existence of a massless scalar potential $\rho \propto R^{-1}$ derived from a heat differential equation. Physically, the heat equation requires a flow of energy from Sources to Sinks to form the potential field. (A flow of energy is in contrast to a static field. An analogy is the

flow of gas or fluid from Sources to Sinks.) That the $\vec{\nabla} \rho$ field acts like a "wind" was suggested. Several differences among galaxy types suggest that Sources of ρ are located in spiral galaxies and that Sinks of ρ are located in elliptical, lenticular, and irregular galaxies. The Source forming a galaxy leads to the proportionally of the Source strength and emitted radiation (luminosity). Therefore, the total mass of a galaxy is related to the luminosity of a galaxy. A cell structure of galaxy groups and clusters was proposed with Sinks at the center and Sources in the outer shell of the cells. The cell model is supported by the data and analysis of Aaronson et al. (1982); Ceccarelli et al. (2005); Hudson et al. (2004); Lilje et al. (1986); and Rejkuba (2004). Because the distance between galaxies is larger than the diameter of a galaxy, the Sources were considered as point (monopole) Sources.

The force \mathbf{F}_{s} (dyne) of the ρ field that acts on matter is

$$\mathbf{F}_{s} = G_{s} m_{s} \vec{\nabla} \rho \tag{1}$$

where (1) the G_s is a proportionality constant; (2) m_s is the property of particles on which \mathbf{F}_s acts; and (3) ρ is the sum of the effects of all galaxies,

$$\rho = K_e \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{sources}}} \frac{e_i}{r_i} + K_\eta \sum_{l=1}^{N_{\text{sink}}} \frac{\eta_l}{r_l}$$
(2)

where e_i and η_l are numbers representing the strength of the *i*th Source and *l*th Sink, respectively, K_e and K_η are proportionality constants, and r_i (Mpc) and r_l (Mpc) are the distances from a Source and a Sink, respectively, to the point at which ρ is calculated, e > 0, $\eta < 0$, and N_{source} and N_{sink} are the number of Sources and Sinks, respectively, used in the calculation.

Hodge (2006) suggested the m_s property of matter is the cross section of the particle; the $e \propto M_{te}$, where M_{te} is the total mass of the Source galaxy; and the $\eta \propto M_{t\eta}$, where $M_{t\eta}$ is the total mass of the Sink galaxy.

This paper further constrains the SPM to describe another set of observations inconsistent with present models. The SPM finds the RC in the OR may be rising, flat, or declining depending on the galaxy and its environment. The Source of the scalar field acts as a monopole at distances of a few kpc from the center of spiral galaxies. In addition to fitting the RR and OR parameters, the SPM is consistent with EOR and asymmetry observations. In the following section, the model is discussed and the SPM v^2 calculation equation is developed. The resulting model is used to calculate RC parameters in the third section. The discussion and conclusion are in the fourth section.

THE SPIRAL GALAXY MODEL

The coordinate system center was placed at the sample galaxy's kinematical center and was aligned to our line of sight. The SPM posits the simplified Newtonian calculation of v of a test particle is the first approximation of v in an isolated galaxy, that observed asymmetries and deviations from the simplified Newtonian calculation in spiral galaxies are the result of the influence of neighbor galaxies, and that the v^2 of a particle in orbit of a spiral galaxy is the sum of the effects of F_s and the gravitational force F_g . Therefore,

$$v^{2} = \frac{GM}{R} - G_{s} \frac{m_{s}}{m_{i}} \frac{L}{R} - \mathbf{R} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{o} + |\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{a}_{o}|$$
⁽³⁾

where (1) the Newtonian simplifications of circular motion ($\ddot{R} = 0$) (Binney and Merrifield, 1998, p. 725) and a spherical mass density field are assumed, (2) the

mass of the test particle is assumed to be constant over time, (3) M (M_{\otimes}) is the mass inside the sphere of radius R from Newton's spherical property, (4) the inertial mass m_i is equal to the gravitational mass m_g of the test particle (Will, 2018), (5) the L term is due to the F_s of the host galaxy, which also derives from a spherically symmetric ρ field; (6) $L = K_e e = 10^{-0.4M_B}$ erg s⁻¹ for Source galaxies or $L = K_\eta \eta = -2.7 \times 10^{-0.4M_B}$ erg s⁻¹ for Sink galaxies (Hodge, 2006); (7) || indicates absolute value; and (8) **a**₀ (km s⁻²) is the acceleration caused by neighboring galaxies,

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{o}} = \frac{G_s m_s}{m_i} \nabla \rho \tag{4}$$

where the number of galaxies exclude the host galaxy. Note that no assumption about the significance of \mathbf{a}_0 has been made.

Because v is measured only along the major axis in the region under consideration (Binney and Merrifield, 1998, p. 725) and if the $\nabla \rho$ field is approximately uniform across a galaxy, Equation (3) becomes

$$v^{2} = \frac{GM}{R_{\text{major}}} - G_{s} \frac{m_{s}}{m_{i}} \frac{L}{R_{\text{major}}} + \left| \mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{o} \right| R_{\text{major}}$$
(5)

where **K** (km kpc⁻¹) is a constant vector and R_{major} (kpc) is the galactocentric radius along the major axis.

In Figure 1, it is shown that the H I RC at lower radius $R_{\rm rr}$ (kpc) in the RR has two scalloped shapes that suggests

spherically symmetric shells of matter. Also, the H α RC rapidly increases, peaks, and then declines at the beginning of each shell. The H α lines are generally formed in excited interstellar gas. In the disk region of a galaxy, the gas is usually excited by hot stars (Binney and Merrifield, 1998). Because the m_s/m_i factor must be different for different matter types, each shell has a different metallicity star type. Because the H α RC approaches the H I RC in the disk region such as shown in Figure 1 with hot, hydrogen burning stars, the m_s/m_i factor must be the same for H I and hydrogen stars. This suggests the m_s/m_i factor varies by element type and acts on atoms at the largest. The metallicity - radius relation follows.

The m_s/m_i ratio of stars is changing through changing elemental composition by nucleosynthesis in addition to accretion and emission of matter. Therefore, the H I RC is preferred to trace the forces influencing a galaxy outside the bulge. Because only the H I RC is considered in the calculations herein, the units used were $G_sm_s/m_i = 1$ kpc km² s⁻¹ erg⁻¹.

THE RESULTS

The sample

The elliptical, lenticular, irregular, and spiral galaxies used in the calculations were the same as used in Hodge (2006). That is, they were selected from the NED database (The NED database is available at http://nedwww.ipac.caltech.edu. The data were obtained from NED on 5 May 2004.) The selection criteria were that the heliocentric redshift z_{mh} be less than 0.03 and that the object be classified as a galaxy. The parameters obtained from the NED database included the name, equatorial longitude E_{lon} (degrees) for J2000.0, equatorial

Table 1. The data for the selected galaxies. (a) The galaxy morphology from the NED database. (b) The galaxy morphological type code from the LEDA database. (c) The galaxy luminosity class code from the LEDA database. (d) Galaxy's H I RC type according to slope in the OR. R is rising, F is flat, and D is declining. (e) The distance D_a (Mpc) to the galaxy from Freedman *et al.* (2001) unless otherwise noted. (f) The D_a from Macri *et al.* (2001).

Galaxy	Morphology ^(a)	t ^(b)	lc ^(c)	RC ^(d)	$D_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{(e)}}$
NGC 0224	SA(s)b	3.0	2.0	F	0.79
NGC 0300	SA(s)d	6.9	6.0	R	2.00
NGC 0598	SA(s)cd	6.0	4.0	R	0.84
NGC 0925	SAB(s)d HII	7.0	4.0	D	9.16
NGC 2403	SAB(s)cd	6.0	5.0	F	3.22
NGC 2841	SA(r)b; LINER Sy	3.0	1.0	F	14.07 ^(f)
NGC 3031	SA(s)ab; LINER Sy1.8	2.4	2.0	D	3.63
NGC 3198	SB(rs)c	5.2	3.0	F	13.80
NGC 3319	SB(rs)cd; HII	5.9	3.3	R	13.30
NGC 4258	SAB(s)bc; LINER Sy1.9	4.0	3.9	D	7.98
NGC 4321	SAB(s)bc;LINER HII	4.0	1.0	D	15.21
NGC 4414	SA(rs)c LINER	5.1	3.6	D	17.70
NGC 4535	SAB(s)c	5.0	1.9	D	15.78
NGC 4548	SBb(rs); LINER Sy	3.1	2.0	D	16.22
NGC 5457	SAB(rs)cd	5.9	1.0	D	6.70
NGC 7331	SA(s)b; LINER	3.9	2.0	F	14.72

latitude E_{lat} (degrees) for J2000.0, morphology, the Bband apparent magnitude m_b (mag.), and the extinction E_{xt} (mag.) as defined by NED. The galactocentric redshift *z* was calculated from z_{mh} . position angle P_a (arcdegrees) for galaxies were obtained from the LEDA database when such data existed. The LEDA database is available at http://leda.univ-lyon.fr. The data were obtained from LEDA on 5 May 2004.



Fig. 2. The plots of the square of the H I rotation velocity v^2 (10³ km² s⁻²) versus galactocentric radius R_{major} (kpc) along the major axis. The straight lines mark the application of the derived equations to the RCs of the selected galaxies. The application of the derived equations to NGC 0224, NGC 0300, and NGC 0598 were omitted because these galaxies lacked a |**K** • **a**₀| value. The references for the RCs are noted in brackets and are as follows: Gottesman *et al.* (1966), Carignan and Freeman (1985), Corbelli and Salucci (2000), Krumm and Salpeter (1979), Begeman *et al.* (1991), Rots (1975), van Albada *et al.* (1985), Bosma (1981), Moore and Gottesman (1998), van Albada and Shane (1975), Sempere *et al.* (1995), Braine *et al.* (1993), Chincarini and de Souza (1985), Vollmer *et al.* (1999), Huchtmeier (1975), and Mannheim and Kmetko, 1996. Linear potentials and galactic rotation curves - detailed fitting. http://www.arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph?9602094.

The 21-cm line width W_{20} (km s⁻¹) at 20 percent of the peak, the inclination i_n (arcdegrees), the morphological type code "t", the luminosity class code "lc", and the

The selection criteria for the "selected galaxies" were that a H I RC was available in the literature and that D_a was available from Freedman *et al.* (2001) and Macri *et al.*

(2001). The data for the 16 selected galaxies are listed in Table 1 and their H I RCs are shown in Figure 2. The *L* for the select galaxies was calculated using D_a , m_b , and E_{xt} . The selection criteria for the other sample galaxies were that a H I RC was available in the literature and that the *L* could be calculated using the TF method with the constants developed by Hodge (2006).

This selected galaxy sample has LSB, medium surface brightness (MSB), and high surface brightness (HSB) galaxies; has LINER, Sy, HII, and less active galaxies; has galaxies that have excellent and poor agreement between the distance D_{tf} (Mpc) calculated using the TF relation and D_a ; has a D_a range of from 0.79 Mpc to 17.70 Mpc; has field and cluster galaxies; and has galaxies with rising, flat, and declining RCs.

The first approximation

When modeling an RC, the knee or turnover of an H I RC is often drawn smooth and relatively rounded. As depicted in Figure 2 most of RCs of the selected galaxies have an abrupt change of slope at the turnover.

The end of the RR was found by fitting a least squares straight line to the first three data points, if available, inward and immediately before the knee of the RC with a 0.99 or higher correlation coefficient. NGC 2841 lacked data points to identify the RR. NGC 4414 had only two data points before the knee and a significant decline in v^2 to indicate the RR. The linear relation suggests a cylindrical distribution of matter in the RR near the knee.

At R_{Δ} , $v_{\Delta} \approx 0$ that implies the effective mass ≈ 0 inside a sphere with a R_{Δ} radius. That is, from Equation (5) $M_{\Delta} \approx G_s m_s L/Gm_i$, where M_{Δ} is the mass within R_{Δ} at which $dv^2/dR = 0$ and at which the RR begins.

The mass in an elemental volume dR in the RR near the turnover was modeled as a cylinder shell of small height $H_{\rm rr}$ (Binney and Merrifield, 1998, p. 724) and with density $D_{\rm rr}$ at $R_{\rm rr}$. The mass $M_{\rm rr}$ within a sphere with a $R_{\rm rr}$ radius is

$$M_{\rm rr} = 2\pi D_{\rm rr} H_{\rm rr} R_{\rm rr}^2 + M_{\rm \Delta} + M_{\rm c} \tag{6}$$

where M_c is a small correction term, the mass in the thin cylindrical RR shell \approx the mass in the spherical shell with the same radial parameters, and the M_{Δ} is distributed in a much thicker volume, which may be spherical. The components of M_c are $-(D_{rr}H_{rr}2\pi)R_{\Delta}^2$, the small amount of matter indicated by $v_{\Delta} \neq 0$, and the variation of the mass in the RR from a cylindrical distribution.

Inserting Equation (6) into Equation (5) for the RR yields

$$v_{\rm rr}^{2} = \left(\frac{m_{\rm g}}{m_{\rm i}} GD_{\rm rr} H_{\rm rr} 2\pi\right) R_{\rm rr}$$

$$+ \frac{G(M_{\rm A} + M_{\rm c}) - m_{\rm s}G_{\rm s}L/m_{\rm i}}{R_{\rm rr}}$$

$$- \left| \mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{\rm o} \right| R_{\rm rr}$$

$$(7)$$

where v_{rr} (km s⁻¹) is the rotation velocity of H I in the RR at R_{rr} .

By averaging from side-to-side of the galaxy, the effect of the $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ term was reduced and was considered insignificant for the first approximation compared to the $D_{\rm rr}$ term near the knee. The **F**_g and the **F**_s offset each other at R_{Δ} to produce a minimum v that then rises in the RR. Because $v_{\Delta} \approx 0$ and the RCs near the knee are well fit by the straight lines, the $[G(M_{\Delta r} + M_c) - m_s G_s L m_i^{-1}]/R_{\rm rr}$ term is small and nearly constant in the RR compared to the $D_{\rm rr}$ term near the knee of the RC. Equation (7) suggests that $v_{\rm rr}^2$ depends on the galaxy parameters $D_{\rm rr}$ and $H_{\rm rr}$. Therefore, the first approximation is

$$\frac{v_{\rm r}^2}{10^3 \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} = S_{\rm rr} \,\frac{R_{\rm rr}}{\rm kpc} + I_{\rm rr} \tag{8}$$

where S_{rr} and I_{rr} are the slope and intercept of the linear $v_{rr}^2 - R_{rr}$ relationship, respectively.

As $R_{\rm rr}$ increases, m_s/m_i of the predominant mass increases and the predominant particles have decreasing density. Decreasing the amount of mass in a given cylindrical shell causes the end of the RR and the beginning of the transition region (TR) between the RR and OR. Because e $\propto M_{te}$ and $e \propto M_{\Delta}$, the mass $M_{\rm rrmax}$ within the maximum RR radius $R_{\rm rrmax}$ (kps) $\propto e \propto L$ of Equation (5). The rotation velocity $v_{\rm rrmax}$ (km s⁻¹) of the galaxy at $R_{\rm rrmax}$ can be used to compare parameters among galaxies. Because this change is caused by the change in elemental types that depends on the e and, hence, L of a galaxy, the end of the RR is occurring under similar conditions in all galaxies. Thus, the $(v_{\rm rrmax})^2$ and $R_{\rm rrmax}$ are comparable parameters among galaxies. Posit, as а first approximation,

$$\frac{v_{\rm rmax}^2}{10^3 \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} = S_a \frac{L}{10^8 \,\rm erg \,\rm s^{-1}} + I_a \tag{9}$$

where S_a and I_a are the slope and intercept of the linear relation, respectively.

When the error in calculating distance is a small factor such as when using D_a or D_{tf} , more galaxies were

sampled. The data of $(v_{\rm rrmax})^2$ versus *L* of 15 selected galaxies from Figure 2 and of 80 other galaxies from Begeman *et al.* (1991), Broeils (1992), García-Ruiz *et al.* (2002), Guhathakurta *et al.* (1988), Kornreich *et al.* (2000, 2001), Liszt and Dickey (1995), Rubin *et al.* (1985), Swaters *et al.* (1999) and Mannheim and Kmetko,

1996. Linear potentials and galactic rotation curves detailed fitting. http://www.arxiv.org/abs/astroph?9602094, for which the RR was identified, are plotted in Figure 3. The other sample galaxies are listed in Table 2 denoted by an integer in the a_1 column. Some of the galaxies had only two data points and a significant decline

Table 2. The integer values for the non-selected galaxies in the sample.

Galaxy	a_1	a_2	f_1	f_2	j_1	j_2	Galaxy	a_1	a_2	f_1	f_2	j_1	j_2
IC 0467	3	9					NGC 4206	2	7				
IC 2233	4	6	4	0	1	5	NGC 4216	2	8				
NGC 0701	3	8					NGC 4222	5	10				
NGC 0753	4	8					NGC 4237	4	6				
NGC 0801	5	8					NGC 4359	4	3	3	1	1	5
NGC 0991	2	3	2	-3	1	3	NGC 4378	3	11				
NGC 1024	4	8					NGC 4388	5	5				
NGC 1035	4	6					NGC 4395	6	3	6	-1	-1	5
NGC 1042	3	4	3	-2	3	3	NGC 4448	4	7				
NGC 1085	5	6					NGC 4605	4	10				
NGC 1087	4	8					NGC 4647	3	7				
NGC 1169					4	5	NGC 4654	4	1				
NGC 1325	2	9					NGC 4682	3	7				
NGC 1353	5	6					NGC 4689	5	9				
NGC 1357	4	9					NGC 4698	4	6				
NGC 1417	5	9					NGC 4772					1	3
NGC 1421	3	4					NGC 4845	3	7				
NGC 1515	5	4					NGC 4866	4	9	4	7	3	4
NGC 1560	4	10	5	2			NGC 5023	4	8	4	-1	1	4
NGC 1620	3	5					NGC 5107					0	4
NGC 2715	2	7					NGC 5229	4	6	3	4	2	4
NGC 2742	3	5					NGC 5297	4	6	0	0	2	4
NGC 2770					3	4	NGC 5301	4	8	3	5	3	3
NGC 2844	3	13					NGC 5377					2	3
NGC 2903	5	11	3	5			NGC 5448	5	7	3	3	1	2
NGC 2998	3	2					NGC 5474	1	12	1	-2	0	4
NGC 3054	4	11					NGC 6503	5	9	5	4		
NGC 3067	5	15					NGC 6814	7	6	8	-3		
NGC 3109	5	5	5	2			NGC 7171	3	6				
NGC 3118	4	4	4	1	2	3	NGC 7217	4	8				
NGC 3200	4	15					NGC 7537	4	-1				
NGC 3432	4	10	4	6	4	5	NGC 7541	4	0				
NGC 3495	2	5					UGC 01281	4	5	4	-4	0	3
NGC 3593	5	11					UGC 02259	5	8	5	1		
NGC 3600	4	5	4	6	4	5	UGC 03137	5	9	3	4	3	5
NGC 3626					4	6	UGC 03685	4	7	5	-4	0	6
NGC 3672	3	8					UGC 05459	5	6	4	2	2	6
NGC 3900					1	3	UGC 07089	4	0	4	-2	0	4
NGC 4010	3	8	4	3	2	5	UGC 07125	4	4	4	-1	1	3
NGC 4051	3	7	1	3			UGC 07321	2	7	4	8	6	4
NGC 4062	4	5					UGC 07774	4	8	4	1	2	5
NGC 4096	4	7	3	-2	2	4	UGC 08246	3	2	3	-2	0	5
NGC 4138					2	5	UGC 09242					0	23
NGC 4144	3	6	4	1	2	6	UGC 10205	1	10				
NGC 4178	4	6											

in v^2 to indicate the end of the RR. The distribution of the data points shown in Figure 3 suggested a grouping of the galaxies such that the $(v_{\rm rrmax})^2$ versus *L* relations are linear for each group. This is reflected in the plotted lines in Figure 3. Call each group a "classification". For the calculation, the $(L, (v_{\rm rrmax})^2) = (0,0)$ point was included in all classifications. The relationship of the slopes of the lines is

$$\log_{10} S_a = S_{\text{ervd}} a_1 + I_{\text{ervd}} \tag{10}$$

where a_1 is an integer, $S_{\text{ervd}} = 1.3 \pm 0.2$ and $I_{\text{ervd}} = 0.31 \pm$ 0.01 were obtained by a least squares fit to produce the lowest uncertainty σ_e . The σ_e was calculated as the standard deviation of the relative difference $(\delta(v_{\rm rrmax})^2/(v_{\rm rrmax})^2)$ between the measured parameter value and the calculated parameter value divided by the calculated parameter value for the sample galaxies. Because the goal is to examine the slope of the lines and because the $(L, (v_{\text{rrmax}})^2) = (0,0)$ point was included in all lines, minimization of σ_e chooses the calculated line with the closest slope to the slope of the line from (0,0) to the data point. A χ^2 type of minimization would choose a different line for some of the sample galaxies.



Fig. 3. The plots of square of the rotation velocity $(v_{rrmax})^2$ (10³ km² s⁻²) at the maximum extent of the RR versus B band luminosity L (10⁸ erg s⁻¹) for the 95 sample galaxies. The 15 select galaxies have error bars that show the uncertainty range in each section of the plot. The error bars for the remaining galaxies are omitted for clarity. The straight lines mark the lines whose characteristics are listed in Table 3. The large, filled circle denotes the data point for NGC 5448. The large, filled square denotes the data point for NGC 3031.

Therefore,

$$S_{a} = K_{a_{1}} B_{a_{1}}^{a_{1}} \tag{11}$$

where $I_a = 0$ and $K_{a1} = 1.3 \pm 0.2$ and $B_{a1} = 2.06 \pm 0.07$ at 1σ . The values of K_{a1} and B_{a1} were chosen as the maximum B_{a1} value that yielded a correlation coefficient for each of the lines from the origin to the data points (see in Table 3 and Figure 3) greater than 0.90, that yielded a minimum σ_e , and that rejected the null hypothesis of the test in **Addition A** (before the **References**) with a confidence greater than 0.95.

Combining Equations (9) and (11) yields

$$\frac{v_{\rm rmax}^2}{10^3 \,\rm{km}^2 \,\rm{s}^{-2}} = K_{a_1} B_{a_1}^{a_1} \frac{L}{10^8 \,\rm{erg \,s}^{-1}} \pm \sigma_{\rm e}$$
(12)

where $\sigma_e = 21\%$. Note $\sigma_e = 16\%$ for only the select galaxies. The large, filled circle shown in Figure 3 denotes the data point for NGC 5448 $(\delta(v_{rrmax})^2/(v_{rrmax})^2 = -0.35)$. The large, filled square shown in Figure 3 denotes the data point for NGC 3031 $(\delta(v_{rrmax})^2/(v_{rrmax})^2 = 0.25)$.

Tables 2 and 4 list the a_1 values for the sample galaxies. Table 5 lists the minimum correlation coefficient C_{cmin} of the lines, the constants K_x , and the exponential bases B_x , where the subscript "x" denotes the generic term.

The average difference between *L* and the luminosity calculated using D_{tf} is 0.38*L* for the selected galaxies. The relative difference in $(v_{rrmax})^2$ included the measurement uncertainty and the uncertainty that the RR may extend farther than the measured point such as seen for NGC 4258. The relative differences $\delta L/L = 0.3$ and $\delta(v_{rrmax})^2/(v_{rrmax})^2 = 0.1$ are shown as error bars in Figure 3 for the selected galaxies.

Other parametric relationships to *L* in the RR were calculated using the same procedure that was used to evaluate the $(v_{\rm rrmax})^2$ - *L* relation. Because these equations involved $R_{\rm rrmax}$, the calculation considered only the 15 selected galaxies. The resulting equations are:

$$\frac{R_{\rm rmax}}{\rm kpc} = K_{\rm b_1} B_{\rm b_1}^{b_1} \frac{L}{10^8 \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}} \pm 14\%$$
(13)

$$\frac{R_{\rm rmax}v_{\rm rmax}^2}{10^3 \,\rm kpc \,\,km^2 \,\,s^{-2}} = \frac{K_{\rm c_1}B_{\rm c_1}^{c_1}L}{10^8 \,\rm erg \,\,s^{-1}} \pm 15\%$$
(14)

$$\frac{v_{\rm rmax}^2 / R_{\rm rmax}}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} = \frac{K_{\rm d_1} B_{\rm d_1}^{d_1} L}{10^8 \,\rm erg \,\rm s^{-1}} \pm 11\%$$
(15)

$$\frac{S_{\rm rr}}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} = \frac{K_{\rm e_1} B_{\rm e_1}^{e_1} L}{10^8 \,\rm erg \,\rm s^{-1}} \pm 13\%$$
(16)

where the first approximation integer values are listed in Table 4 and the C_{cmin} , K_x , and B_x are listed in Table 5. Equations (12)-(15) suggest that at least two of the four integers such as a_1 , b_1 , c_1 , and d_1 are functions of the other two.

The end of the EOR was considered to be at the largest radius R_{eormax} (kpc) along the major axis that H I is in orbit around the galaxy. Several galaxies have a H I measured point on one side of the galaxy at a greater R_{major} than the other side. Because averaging the v from each side of the galaxy was required to reduce the $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ effect that

Table 3. The data for the integer values of a_1 of Equation (12) shown as the plotted lines shown in Figure 3. (a) The integer denoting the exponential value in Equation (12). (b) The number of data points for each a_1 value. (c) The correlation coefficient rounded to two decimal places. (d) The least squares fit slope of the $(v_{rrmax})^2 - L$ lines. (e) The lines were calculated using $(L, (v_{rrmax})^2) = (0,0)$ as a data point. (f) The least squares fit intercept of the $(v_{rrmax})^2 - L$ lines.

$a_1^{(a)}$	No. ^(b)	Corr. ^(c)	F Test	$S_{\mathrm{a}}^{(d)(e)}$	$I_{\mathrm{a}}^{(f)}$			
1	2	1.00	1.00	2.482 ± 0.004	0.006 ± 0.006			
2	7	0.97	0.93	6.6 ± 0.6	0.1 ± 0.7			
3	20	0.99	0.96	12.8 ± 0.5	-0.8 ± 0.8			
4	39	0.98	0.92	23.2 ± 0.7	0.7 ± 0.7			
5	24	0.97	0.90	42.0 ± 2	1.0 ± 2.0			
6	2	1.00	1.00	84.3 ± 0.3	-0.2 ± 0.2			
7	1	1.00	1.00	261.0	0			

Table 4. The first approximation integer values for the selected galaxies.

Galaxy	a_1	b_1	c_1	d_1	e_1	f_1	g_1	h_1	i_1	j_1	k_1	l_1
NGC 0224	5	5	5	3	4	4	3	3	4			4
NGC 0598	4	6	3	6	6	5	5	3	6			6
NGC 3031	5	5	5	5	4	3	3	2	4	3	5	7
NGC 0300	5	7	3	6	7	5	5	2	7			7
NGC 2403	5	6	4	6	6	4	4	2	6	1	4	6
NGC 5457	4	5	5	1	2	1	4	3	1	4	5	4
NGC 4258	3	2	1	4	5	2	2	2	3	2	1	2
NGC 0925	5	6	4	4	2	2	4	2	4	2	3	6
NGC 2841		1				4	4	4	3	2	5	1
NGC 3198	4	6	5	2	1	2	4	3	3	2	6	5
NGC 4414	5	4	4	4	4	2	3	2	3	1	2	6
NGC 3319	3	6	4	2	3	3	4	2	5	1	5	4
NGC 7331	5	3	3	5	5	3	3	3	4	3	3	4
NGC 4535	4	4	3	3	4	2	1	1	5	3	4	3
NGC 4321	4	4	4	2	2	2	1	2	4	3	2	3
NGC 4548	6	1	2	10	8	4	2	2	6	9	16	7

Table 5. The values of the minimum correlation coefficients C_{cmin} , constants K_x , and exponent bases B_x for the first approximation equations. (a) The integer of the exponential value of parametric relationship that denotes the applicable equation. (b) The minimum correlation coefficient rounded to two decimal places of the lines of the parametric relationship. (c) The constant of proportionality at 1σ of the parametric relationship. (d) The exponent base at 1σ of the parametric relationship.

Integer ^(a)	$C_{\rm cmin}^{(b)}$	$K_{\mathrm{x}}^{(c)}$	$B_{\mathrm{x}}^{(d)}$
a_1	0.97	1.30 ± 0.20	2.06 ± 0.07
b_1	0.86	0.34 ± 0.04	1.88 ± 0.05
c_1	0.96	19.00 ± 1.00	1.89 ± 0.05
d_1	0.99	1.00 ± 0.10	1.57 ± 0.06
e_1	0.97	0.49 ± 0.06	1.71 ± 0.04
f_1	0.96	8.60 ± 0.70	1.57 ± 0.04
g_1	0.93	4.40 ± 0.40	1.77 ± 0.06
h_1	0.97	150.00 ± 10.00	2.50 ± 0.10
i_1	0.95	0.09 ± 0.02	1.90 ± 0.20
l_1	0.96	0.075 ± 0.009	1.95 ± 0.02

causes non-circular rotation, the outermost measured point used in the calculations was at the R_{eormax} with data points on both sides of the galaxy. At $R > R_{\text{eormax}}$ particles are no longer in orbit. For particles other than H I, the m_s/m_i is less. Therefore, their maximum radius in a galaxy is less. NGC 4321 has H I extending farther than a radius of four arcminutes. However, Knapen *et al.* (1993) concluded the H I motion beyond four arcminutes is not disk rotation. Therefore, R_{eormax} was considered to be at four arcminutes for NGC 4321.

Because $e \propto M_{\text{te}}$ and $e \propto M_{\Delta}$, $M_{\text{eormax}} \propto e \propto L$, where Meormax is the mass within R_{eormax} of Equation (5). The rotation velocity v_{eormax} of the galaxy at a radius of R_{eormax} can be used to compare parameters among galaxies.



Fig. 4. The plots of the square of the H I rotation velocity $(v_{\text{cormax}})^2$ (10³ km² s⁻²) at extreme outer region versus B band luminosity *L* (10⁸ erg s⁻¹) for the 50 sample galaxies. The 16 selected galaxies have error bars that show the uncertainty level in each section of the plot. The error bars for the remaining galaxies are omitted for clarity. The large, filled circle denotes the data point for NGC 5448. The large, filled square denotes the data point for NGC 3031.

Parameter relations to L in the EOR were calculated using the same procedure used to evaluate the $(v_{\rm rrmax})^2 - L$ relation. The data of $(v_{eormax})^2$ versus L of 16 selected galaxies and of 34 other galaxies from Begeman et al. (1991), Broeils (1992), García-Ruiz et al. (2002), Kornreich et al. (2000, 2001), Liszt and Dickey (1995), Swaters et al. (1999) and (Mannheim and Kmetko, 1996. Linear potentials and galactic rotation curves - detailed fitting. http://www.arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph?9602094) for a total of 50 sample galaxies are shown in Figure 4. The 34 other galaxies are listed in Table 2 denoted by an integer in the f_1 column. The uncertainty in determining the value of the outermost point of the EOR is greater than determining the end of the RR. Because the determination of the outermost value of the parameters requires instrumentation sensitive enough to measure the values, the data prior to 1990 of 46 other galaxies used in the

 $(v_{\text{rrmax}})^2$ - *L* relation were omitted. The equations involving R_{eormax} used only the 16 selected galaxies.

The resulting equations are:

$$\frac{v_{\text{cormax}}^2}{10^3 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{f_1} B_{f_1}^{f_1} L}{10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \pm 13\%$$
(17)

where the large, filled circle in Figure 4 denotes the data point for NGC 5448 ($\delta(v_{eormax})^2/(v_{eormax})^2 = -0.20$) and the large, filled square denotes the data point for NGC 3031 ($\delta(v_{eormax})^2/(v_{eormax})^2 = -0.08$);

$$\frac{R_{\text{eormax}}}{\text{kpc}} = \frac{K_{g_1} B_{g_1}^{g_1} L}{10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \pm 16\%$$

$$R_{\text{eormax}} v_{\text{eormax}}^2 K_{h_1} B_{h_1}^{h_1} L$$
(18)
(19)

$$\frac{R_{\text{eormax}} V_{\text{eormax}}}{10^3 \text{ kpc km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{R_{h_1} D_{h_1} D}{10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \pm 19\%$$

$$\frac{v_{\text{cormax}}^2 / R_{\text{cormax}}}{10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{i_1} B_{i_1}^{i_1} L}{10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \pm 15\%$$
(20)

where the first approximation integer values are listed in Tables 2 and 4, and the C_{cmin} , K_x , and B_x are listed in Table 5.

The uncertainty in calculating $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ depends on the accuracy of measuring the distance D (Mpc) to neighbor galaxies that are without a D_a and without a $D_{\rm ff}$ measurement. The cell structure of galaxy clusters (Aaronson *et al.*, 1982; Ceccarelli *et al.*, 2005; Hodge, 2006; Hudson *et al.*, 2004; Lilje *et al.*, 1986; Rejkuba, 2004) suggests a systematic error in z relative to D of a galaxy. Therefore, using z and the Hubble Law to determine D introduces a large error.

However, the cell model also suggests neighboring galaxies have a similar D/z ratio in the first approximation. For those few galaxies near our line of sight to the sample galaxy and near the sample galaxy, Hodge (2006) found a *z* change caused by the light passing close to galaxies. For a single galaxy this effect is small and was ignored. Therefore,

$$D_i = \frac{z_i}{z_p} D_p \tag{21}$$

where z_p and D_p (Mpc) are the redshift and distance of the sample galaxy, respectively, and z_i and D_i (Mpc) are the redshift and calculated distance of the *i*th neighbor galaxy, respectively. The $D_p = D_a$ for the select galaxies and $D_p =$

 $D_{\rm tf}$ for the other sample galaxies. The *L* of the *i*th neighbor galaxy was calculated using D_i , $m_{\rm b}$, and $E_{\rm xt}$.

Because larger $|z_i - z_p|$ implies larger error in D_i , the N_{sources} and N_{sinks} of Equation (4) was limited to the number N of galaxies with the largest influence on $|\nabla \rho|$ of the sample galaxy, where the $\nabla \rho$ of Equation (4) is evaluated at the center of the sample galaxy. The N = 7 was chosen because it produced the highest correlation in the calculations. Also, $6 \le N \le 9$ produced acceptable correlation in the calculations.

The calculation of $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ also requires knowledge of the orientation of the sample galaxy. The direction of the sample galaxy's polar unit vector \mathbf{e}_{polar} was defined as northward from the center of the sample galaxy along the polar axis of the galaxy. Hu *et al.* (2006), Pereira and Kuhn (2005), and Trujillo *et al.* (2006) found an alignment of the polar axis of neighboring spiral galaxies. Therefore, the orientation of \mathbf{e}_{polar} with the higher $|\mathbf{e}_{polar} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ was chosen for the calculations.

The major axis unit vector \mathbf{e}_{major} was defined as eastward from the center of the sample galaxy along the major axis of the galaxy. The minor axis unit vector $\mathbf{e}_{minor} \equiv \mathbf{e}_{major} \times \mathbf{e}_{polar}$.

At equal $R_{\text{major}} > R_{\text{rrmax}}$ on opposite sides of the galaxy, the *L* terms of Equation (5) are equal and the *M* terms are nearly equal. Define asymmetry A_{sym} ,

$$A_{\rm sym} \equiv \left(v_{\rm h}^2 - v_{\rm l}^2\right)\Big|_R \tag{22}$$

where v_h and v_l are the larger v and smaller v at the same $R_{\text{major}}(|_R)$ on opposite sides of the galaxy, respectively.

The A_{sym} is a function of R_{major} . The A_{sym} and $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ are sensitive to both radial (non-circular) motion of particles and to variation of v due to torque. For the first approximation the maximum asymmetry A_{symax} in the OR depends predominately on $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$. Because A_{symax} is minimally dependent on R, A_{symax} is comparable among galaxies.

NGC 0224, NGC 0300, and NGC 0598 were omitted from the sample because their z < 0 and their neighbor galaxies may have positive or negative value of z. Therefore, evaluating the distance of neighbor galaxies of these galaxies was considered unreliable. Table 6 lists the $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$, A_{symax} , and A_{symax} references for the remaining 13 select galaxies. The sample consisted of 13 selected galaxies and of 36 other galaxies from García-Ruiz *et al.* (2002), Kornreich *et al.* (2000, 2001), and Swaters *et al.* (1999) for a total of 49 sample galaxies. The 36 other galaxies are listed in Table 2 denoted by an integer in the j_1 column. The plot of A_{symax} versus $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ is shown in Figure 5.



Fig. 5. The plots of maximum asymmetry A_{symax} (10³ km² s⁻²) versus |**K** • **a**₀| (10³ kpc⁻¹ km² s⁻²) for the 50 sample galaxies. The 13 selected galaxies have error bars that show the uncertainty level in each section of the plot. The error bars for the remaining galaxies are omitted for clarity. The large, filled circle denotes the data point for NGC 5448. The large, filled square denotes the data point for NGC 3031.

Table 6. The asymmetry and $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ data for the selected galaxies. (a) The units are $10^3 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$. The uncertainty is $\pm 10\%$. (b) The units are $10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$. The uncertainty is $\pm 30\%$. (c) References: Krumm and Salpeter (1979), Begeman *et al.* (1991), Fillmore *et al.* (1986), Rots (1975), van Albada *et al.* (1985), Bosma (1981), Moore and Gottesman (1998), van Albada and Shane (1975), Sempere *et al.* (1995), Braine *et al.* (1993), Chincarini and de Souza (1985), Vollmer *et al.* (1999), and Bosma *et al.* (1981).

Galaxy	$A_{\text{symax}}^{(a)}$	$ \mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a_0} ^{(b)}$	Ref. ^(c)
NGC 0925	12.5	1.53	[1]
NGC 2403	2.0	0.99	[2]
NGC 2841	4.0	0.52	[3][4]
NGC 3031	7.1	0.35	[4]
NGC 3198	2.9	0.27	[5][6]
NGC 3319	1.4	0.44	[7]
NGC 4258	14.0	2.00	[8]
NGC 4321	64.7	2.60	[9]
NGC 4414	6.5	2.20	[10]
NGC 4535	16.7	0.58	[11]
NGC 4548	27.9	0.0018	[12]
NGC 5457	34.8	0.37	[13]
NGC 7331	17.6	0.71	[6]

The same procedure used to evaluate the $(v_{\text{rrmax}})^2 - L$ relation was used to evaluate the $A_{\text{symax}} - |\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ relation. The result is

$$\frac{A_{\text{symax}}}{10^3 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{j_1} B_{j_1}^{j_1} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{o}|}{10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} \pm 28\%$$
(23)

where first approximation integer values are listed in Tables 2 and 4, $C_{\text{cmin}} = 0.98$, $K_{j1} = 1.000 \pm 0.001$, $B_{j1} = 2.94 \pm 0.09$, and

$$\mathbf{K} = (3.60 \times 10^{-6}) \mathbf{e}_{\text{polar}}$$
(24)
- (6.81×10⁻⁶) $\mathbf{e}_{\text{major}}$
- (1.18×10⁻⁶) $\mathbf{e}_{\text{minor}}$ [km kpc⁻¹]

at 1σ . The value of **K** was chosen such that $K_{j1} \approx 1.00$.

The large, filled circle in Figure 5 denotes the data point for NGC 5448 ($\delta A_{symax}/A_{symax} = -0.08$). The large, filled square denotes the data point for NGC 3031 ($\delta A_{symax}/A_{symax} = -0.21$). For the 13 selected galaxies, $\sigma_e =$ 17% [excluding the (A_{symax} , |**K** • **a**₀]) = (0, 0) point].

Because the net effect of F_s and F_g from the host galaxy is weak at the outer edge of the galaxy, the slope S_{eor} (kpc⁻¹ km² s⁻²) of the H I RC for the end of the EOR was compared among the 13 selected galaxies. The S_{eor} were found by fitting a least squares straight line to the outermost two or more data points of the RC with a 0.97 or higher correlation coefficient. The same procedure that was used to evaluate the $(v_{rrmax})^2 - L$ relation was used to evaluate the $S_{eor} - |\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ relation. The result is

$$\frac{S_{\text{eor}}}{10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{k_1} B_{k_1}^{k_1} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{o}}|}{10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}}$$
(25)
+ $I_{\text{seor}} \pm 15\%$

where $C_{\text{cmin}} = 0.96$, $K_{k1} = 0.64 \pm 0.09$, $B_{k1} = 1.74 \pm 0.06$, and $I_{\text{seor}} = -4.8 \pm 0.1$ at 1σ . Table 4 lists the k_1 values for the 13 selected galaxies.

As seen in Figure 2 the measured points in the OR appear nearly linear rather than a smoothly varying curve in most of the selected galaxies. Therefore, the linear approximation of the RC slope in the OR was considered more appropriate than a smoothly varying function. Define S_{or} (km² s⁻² kpc⁻¹) as the slope from the R_{rrmax} data point to the beginning of the S_{eor} of the H I RC. For the 15 selected galaxies excluding NGC 2841, following the same procedure as for finding Equation (12) yields

$$\frac{S_{\rm or}}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} = -\frac{K_{\rm l_1} B_{\rm l_1}^{l_1} L}{10^8 \,\rm erg \,\rm s^{-1}}$$
(26)
+ $I_{\rm or} \pm 15\%$

where the integer values are listed in Table 4. The C_{cmin} , K_{11} , and B_{11} values are listed in Table 5 and $I_{\text{or}} = 1.6 \pm 0.1$.

The second approximation

Equation (5) indicates the parameters of galaxies depend on the *L* and $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$. The first approximation selected either the *L* or $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ depending upon which is predominant. The second approximation considered the less predominant term to be a systematic correction term. Posit the residual between the measured parameter value and the calculated first approximation parameter value is proportional to the less predominant term. Appling the same procedure as for finding Equation (12) yields:

$$\frac{v_{\rm rmax}^2}{10^3 \,\rm{km}^2 \,\rm{s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{a_1} B_{a_1}^{a_1} L}{10^8 \,\rm{erg} \,\rm{s}^{-1}}$$

$$+ (-1)^{s_a} \frac{K_{a_2} B_{a_2}^{a_2} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{o}|}{10^3 \,\rm{kpc}^{-1} \,\rm{km}^2 \,\rm{s}^{-2}} \pm 10\%$$
(27)

where $K_{a2} = 0.15 \pm 0.02$, $B_{a2} = 2.00 \pm 0.03$ at 1 σ , for NGC 5448 $(\delta(v_{rrmax})^2/(v_{rrmax})^2 = -0.04)$, and for NGC 3031 $(\delta(v_{rrmax})^2/(v_{rrmax})^2 = -0.03)$;

$$\frac{R_{\rm rmax}}{\rm kpc} = \frac{K_{\rm b_1} B_{\rm b_1}^{b_1} L}{10^8 \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}}$$

$$+ (-1)^{s_b} \frac{K_{\rm b_2} B_{\rm b_2}^{b_2} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a_o}|}{10^3 \, {\rm kpc}^{-1} \, {\rm km}^2 \, {\rm s}^{-2}} \pm 2\%$$
(28)

where $K_{b2} = 0.08 \pm 0.01$ and $B_{b2} = 1.75 \pm 0.08$ at 1σ ;

$$\frac{R_{\rm rmax} v_{\rm rmax}^2}{10^3 \,\rm kpc \,\,km^2 \,\,s^{-2}} = \frac{K_{c_1} B_{c_1}^{c_1} L}{10^8 \,\rm erg \,\,s^{-1}}$$

$$+ (-1)^{s_c} \frac{K_{c_2} B_{c_2}^{c_2} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a_o}|}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\,km^2 \,\,s^{-2}} \pm 3\%$$
(29)

where $K_{c2} = 0.63 \pm 0.07$ and $B_{c2} = 1.98 \pm 0.03$ at 1σ ;

$$\frac{v_{\rm rmax}^2 / R_{\rm rmax}}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} = \frac{K_{\rm d_1} B_{\rm d_1}^{d_1} L}{10^8 \,\rm erg \,\rm s^{-1}}$$
(30)
+ (-1)^{s_d} $\frac{K_{\rm d_2} B_{\rm d_2}^{d_2} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a_o}|}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} \pm 3\%$

where $K_{d2} = 0.024 \pm 0.007$ and $B_{d2} = 2.2 \pm 0.3$ at 1σ ;

Table 7. The second approximation integer values for the selected galaxies.

Galaxy	a_2	b_2	<i>C</i> ₂	d_2	e_2	f_2	g_2	h_2	i_2	j_2	k_2	l_2
NGC 0224												
NGC 0598												
NGC 3031	8	1	7	6	4	4	3	6	1	4	3	11
NGC 0300												
NGC 2403	3	3	4	4	6	2	4	2	5	5	5	6
NGC 5457	4	6	7	5	8	3	7	7	4	5	3	8
NGC 4258	4	2	1	3	1	2	4	3	2	4	2	1
NGC 0925	5	2	2	2	6	1	3	1	1	4	6	3
NGC 2841						5	8	8	4	2	4	1
NGC 3198	6	6	9	1	2	5	8	6	4	3	2	7
NGC 4414	4	3	6	4	6	2	5	2	1	1	1	6
NGC 3319	4	4	6	2	4	3	7	3	5	2	6	7
NGC 7331	7	3	6	6	7	3	6	5	5	2	3	3
NGC 4535	7	6	5	4	9	3	4	2	7	4	3	6
NGC 4321	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	3	3	3	1	5
NGC 4548	16	12	8	16	21	13	14	13	16	4	5	18

$$\frac{S_{\rm rr}}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} = \frac{K_{\rm e_1} B_{\rm e_1}^{e_1} L}{10^8 \,\rm erg \,\rm s^{-1}}$$

$$+ (-1)^{s_e} \frac{K_{\rm e_2} B_{\rm e_2}^{e_2} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a_o}|}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} \pm 2\%$$
(31)

where $K_{e2} = 0.021 \pm 0.003$ and $B_{e2} = 1.72 \pm 0.03$ at 1σ ;

$$\frac{v_{\text{cormax}}^2}{10^3 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{f_1} B_{f_1}^{f_1} L}{10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}}$$
(32)
+ $(-1)^{s_f} \frac{K_{f_2} B_{f_2}^{f_2} | \mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{o}} |}{10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} \pm 2\%$

where $K_{f2} = 0.7 \pm 0.1$ and $B_{f2} = 1.9 \pm 0.1$ at 1σ , for NGC 5448 $(\delta(v_{eormax})^2/(v_{eormax})^2 = -0.03)$, and for NGC 3031 $(\delta(v_{eormax})^2/(v_{eormax})^2 = -0.02)$;

$$\frac{R_{\text{eormax}}}{\text{kpc}} = \frac{K_{g_1} B_{g_1}^{g_1} L}{10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}}$$

$$+ (-1)^{s_g} \frac{K_{g_2} B_{g_2}^{g_2} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{o}}|}{10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} \pm 3\%$$
(33)

where $K_{g2} = 0.008 \pm 0.002$ and $B_{g2} = 2.05 \pm 0.09$ at 1σ ;

$$\frac{R_{\text{eormax}} v_{\text{eormax}}^2}{10^3 \text{ kpc km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{\text{h}_1} B_{\text{h}_1}^{n_1} L}{10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}}$$
(34)

+
$$(-1)^{s_h} \frac{K_{h_2} B_{h_2}^{h_2} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a_o}|}{10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} \pm 3\%$$

where $K_{h2} = 7 \pm 2$ and $B_{h2} = 2.06 \pm 0.08$ at 1σ ;

$$\frac{v_{\text{eormax}}^2 / R_{\text{eormax}}}{10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{i_1} B_{i_1}^{i_1} L}{10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}}$$
(35)
+ $(-1)^{s_i} \frac{K_{i_2} B_{i_2}^{i_2} | \mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{o}} |}{10^3 \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}} \pm 2\%$

where $K_{i2} = 0.024 \pm 0.002$ and $B_{i2} = 1.78 \pm 0.04$ at 1σ ;

$$\frac{A_{\text{symax}}}{10^{3} \text{ km}^{2} \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{j_{1}} B_{j_{1}}^{j_{1}} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{o}|}{10^{3} \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^{2} \text{ s}^{-2}}$$
(36)
+ (-1)^{s_{j}} $\frac{K_{j_{2}} B_{j_{2}}^{j_{2}} L}{10^{8} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \pm 8\%$

where $K_{j2} = 0.053 \pm 0.008$ and $B_{j2} = 2.4 \pm 0.2$ at 1σ [for the selected galaxies, $\sigma_e(\delta A_{symax}/A_{symax}) = -0.04$], for NGC 5448 ($\delta A_{symax}/A_{symax} = -0.02$), and for NGC 3031 ($\delta A_{symax}/A_{symax} = -0.04$);

$$\frac{S_{\text{eor}}}{10^{3} \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^{2} \text{ s}^{-2}} = \frac{K_{k_{1}} B_{k_{1}}^{k_{1}} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a}_{0}|}{10^{3} \text{ kpc}^{-1} \text{ km}^{2} \text{ s}^{-2}}$$
(37)
+ $I_{\text{seor}} + (-1)^{s_{k}} \frac{K_{k_{2}} B_{k_{2}}^{k_{2}} L}{10^{8} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \pm 2\%$

where $K_{k2} = 0.061 \pm 0.006$ and $B_{k2} = 1.80 \pm 0.05$ at 1σ ; and

$$\frac{S_{\rm or}}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} = -\frac{K_{l_1} B_{l_1}^{l_1} L}{10^8 \,\rm erg \,\rm s^{-1}}$$
(38)
+ $I_{\rm or} + (-1)^{s_l} \frac{K_{l_2} B_{l_2}^{l_2} |\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{a_o}|}{10^3 \,\rm kpc^{-1} \,\rm km^2 \,\rm s^{-2}} \pm 2\%$

where $K_{12} = 0.0039 \pm 0.0005$ and $B_{12} = 1.96 \pm 0.05$ at 1σ . If the measured value of a parameter is larger than the first approximation calculated value, the sign $s_x = 0$ in Equations (27)-(38). If the measured value of a parameter is smaller than the first approximation calculated value, the sign $s_x = 1$ in Equations (27)-(38). The second approximation integer values are listed in Tables 2 and 7.

The calculated RCs of the above equations are plotted as solid lines shown in Figure 2 for the selected galaxies.

Because barred galaxies were part of the sample, the monopole Source suggests the ρ is not sourced by matter as is gravity. The Source appears as a monopole at the beginning of the RR that is a few kpc from the center of the galaxy.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The SPM L first approximation correlation with RC parameters is an expansion of the URC concept. The SPM includes observations such as rapidly declining RCs and asymmetric RCs.

The second approximation equations show little effect of neighboring galaxies on the H I RC except when orientation and closeness of luminous galaxies produce a higher $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}|$. Although the neighboring galaxy's effect is less significant on the RC, it does induce small perturbations in the orbits of particles that cause the observed asymmetry. Because the m_s/m_i is smaller for higher metallicity particles, the effect of neighboring galaxies on the H α RC is less. Therefore, the finding of cluster effects on H α RCs is subject to the sample selected and may be detected only when $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}|$ is large.

A large $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}|$ requires the host galaxy be oriented appropriately and requires large $\nabla \rho$. A large $\nabla \rho$ is present near the cluster center or where the near galaxies are large and asymmetrically arranged around the host galaxy. The former condition is caused by the $\eta < 0$ of the galaxies in the cluster center surrounded by e > 0 of the galaxies in the cluster shell. Therefore, the SPM is consistent with the finding of Dale *et al.* (2001). The latter condition may be caused by a large, near neighbor galaxy.

The SPM's $(Gm_gM_g - G_sm_sL)/m_iR^2$ term has the form of a subtractive change in Newtonian acceleration. The SPM suggests the m_s change with radius causes the species of

matter to change with radius that causes the appearance of a gravitational acceleration change with radius that is related to *L*. If the $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}|$ term is small, the SPM effective force model reduces to the MOND model as was suggested by Hodge (2006) for elliptical galaxies.

The deviation of the data of NGC 5448 from Equation (12) suggests a physical mechanism behind the quantized galaxy parameters. The clear departure from circular motion and the significant mass transfer inward ($\ddot{R}=0$) found by Fathi et al. (2005) suggests this galaxy is in transition from one virial state to another. Further, the noted stellar and gas velocity difference decreases at larger radii. The better fitting of the $(v_{eormax})^2 - L$ and of the $A_{\text{symax}} - |\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ relations is the expected result. NGC 3031 shows strong, non-circular motion in the disk (Gottesman et al., 1966). This suggests the integer variation is caused by the accumulation of mass at potential barriers such as at R_{Δ} and $R_{\rm rrmax}$. Continued nucleosynthesis and changing $|\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{a}_0|$ cause an occasional, catastrophic rupture of one or more of the potential barriers, R = 0, and, therefore, the transition of the galaxy from one integer classification to another. A smoothly varying transition from the RR to the OR for flat or declining RCs such as NGC 4321 suggests mass is accumulating at a potential barrier at the end of the RR and is being depleted from the outer parts of the OR.

The proposition that observed asymmetries are caused by neighboring galaxies may also apply to bars and to the formation and maintenance of the rotation of mass around the galaxy.

Steinmetz and Navarro (2002) found in a series of Nbody/gas dynamical simulations that included feedback: that feedback is a necessary component for morphology determination; that the main morphological component is regulated by the mode of gas accretion and intimately linked to discrete accretion events; that morphology is a transient phenomenon; and that the Hubble sequence reflects the varied accretion histories of galaxies. If luminosity is proportional to the e, which directly causes the parameters of the RC, then there must exist a feedback mechanism controlling the parameters of the RC.

Approximately 66% of the sample galaxies have $a_1 = 4$ or $a_1 = 5$ as seen in Figure 3 and Table 3. If $a_1 = 4.5$ is used in Equation (12), $(v_{\rm rrmax})^2 \propto L$ for a majority of sample galaxies. Only NGC 4258 of the select galaxies would appear to be an outlier, which may suggest the $v_{\rm rrmax}$ is larger than the measured point chosen herein. Further, the neighboring galaxy effect would fail to improve the $(v_{\rm rrmax})^2 \propto L$ relation. The effect of the integer values is to broaden the applicability of the parameter -L relations and to establish relations wherein the neighboring galaxy effect improves the calculation.

The SPM does not use the mass-to-light ratio to fit RCs. Indeed, Equations (14) and (19) imply the effective mass-to-light ratio varies among galaxies as observed.

The use of the $M_{\rm B}$ derived from the TF and the degree of correlation achieved herein suggests the mass term is totally determined by baryonic matter. The $\nabla \rho$ field serves the same role in the equations as DM. Equation (3) with the L term and Equation (7) with the M_{Δ} term suggests a much larger spiral galaxy baryonic mass [M of Equation](3)] than traditional Newtonian mechanics calculates (Rv^2/G) . Therefore, the $\nabla \rho$ field causes Newtonian kinematic measurements to considerably underestimate mass in a galaxy $(M \approx Rv^2/G + G_s m_s L/Gm_i)$. The difference between the SPM and other RC models of the "missing mass problem" is that the added mass follows the luminous mass as suggested by the m_s/m_i in the equations. Therefore, the added mass is baryonic rather than DM, a changing gravitation constant, a changing acceleration, or other form of "fifth force". The "missing mass" is non-luminous, baryonic matter.

The purpose of the present investigation was to expand the SPM to be consistent with galaxy RC, asymmetry and EOR observations. The resulting model adds the force of a scalar potential of the sample galaxy and of neighboring galaxies to the Newtonian rotation velocity equation. The form of the equation for each parameter of each region is the same with differing constants. Integer values, rather than scale factors, determine the particular galaxy parameter values. Among the sample galaxies, the B band luminosity of a galaxy is related (1) to the maximum rotation velocity, radius, mass, and acceleration parameters at the end of the RR and at the end of the EOR and (2) to the slope of the RC in the RR and OR. The scalar potential effect of the neighboring galaxies is related to the slope of the H I RC in the EOR, to the asymmetry in the OR, and to the residual of the measured and calculated values of the above-mentioned luminosity dependent parameters. The RC in the OR may be rising, flat, or declining depending on the galaxy and its environment. The scalar potential field causes Newtonian mechanics to considerably underestimate the mass in galaxies. The Source of the scalar field appears as a monopole at distances of a few kpc from the center of spiral galaxies. The resulting RC equation is consistent with the formation, evolution, and long-term maintenance of asymmetry observations. The RC observations are consistent with the SPM.

Addition A. The many lines in the parameter relations are not random

The many lines in the plot shown in Figure 3 suggest the data points may be random. The null hypothesis tested was "the data points are indeed random points". This null hypothesis was tested by following the procedure used in discovering Equation (12) as follows: (1) A trial consisted

of generating 15 sets of two random numbers between zero and one and subjecting the sets to the slope, correlation coefficient, and σ_e tests the galaxy sample passed. Call the independent variable (X_i) and the dependent variable (Y_i), where *i* varies from one to 15. (2) The equation tested was as follows:

$$(Y_{\text{calc}})_i = K_1 B^{t_i} X_i \tag{39}$$

where K_1 is the proportionality constant, B is the exponential base determined by the relation to be tested, and t_i is the integer classification for the i^{th} set. (3) The K_1 value for each trial was calculated as the minimum value of $K_1 = Y_i/(BX_i)$ with an $X_i > 0.4$. (4) The t_i value was calculated for each set of (X_i, Y_i) values as

$$t_i = \text{ROUND}\left[\log_B\left(\frac{Y_i}{K_1 X_i}\right)\right] \tag{40}$$

where "ROUND" means to round the value in the braces to the nearest integer. (5) If any subset lacked a value, that subset was ignored. (6) If the correlation coefficient of any t_i subset of (X_i, Y_i) values, including the $(X_0, Y_0) =$ (0,0) point, was less than 0.90, the trial failed. (7) The $(Y_{calc})_i$ was calculated according to Equation (39). If the σ_e > 0.21 between the Y_i and $(Y_{calc})_i$ for the 15 sets, the trial failed. (8) A count of the number N_{π} of sets of (X_i, Y_i) with $X_i^2 + Y_i^2 \leq 1$ and the number N_{XltY} of sets of (X_i, Y_i) with $X_i < Y_i$ was made. (9) The trial was redone with another 15 sets of random numbers for 30,000 trials.

For B = 2 the results were: (1) The $N_{\pi}/(15 \times 30,000) = 0.78528 \approx \pi/4$ and $N_{XltY}/(15 \times 30,000) = 0.49999$. Therefore, the random number generator performed satisfactorily. (2) Of the 30,000 trials 22 passed (0.07%), the remainder of the trials failed. Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected with a 99+% confidence. For B = 1.65 the confidence level of rejecting the null hypothesis was greater than 0.95.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Also, this research has made use of the LEDA database (http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr). The author thanks those people who have commented on certain aspects of this paper that needed clarification. The author also acknowledges and appreciates the financial support of Maynard Clark,

Apollo Beach, Florida, while the author was working on this project.

REFERENCES

Aaronson, M., Huchra, J., Mould, J., Schechter, PL. and Tully, RB. 1982. The velocity field in the local supercluster. The Astrophysical Journal. 258(Part 1):64-76. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/160053.

Battaner, E. and Florido, E. 2000. The rotation curve of spiral galaxies and its cosmological implications. Fundamentals of Cosmic Physics. 21:1-154.

Begeman, KG., Broeils, AH. and Sanders, RH. 1991. Extended rotation curves of spiral galaxies: dark haloes and modified dynamics. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 249(3):523-537. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/249.3.523.

Bekenstein, JD. 2004. Relativistic gravitation theory for the modified Newtonian dynamics paradigm. Physical Review D. 70(8):083509. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.083509

Bertolami, O. and Páramos, J. 2005. Astrophysical constraints on scalar field models. Physical Review D. 71(2):023521. DOI: DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.023521.

Binney, J. and Merrifield, M. 1998. Galactic Astronomy. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA. pp.816.

Bosma, A. 1981. 21-cm line studies of spiral galaxies. I. Observations of the galaxies NGC 5033, 3198, 5055, 2841, and 7331. The Astronomical Journal. 86(12):1791-1824. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/113062

Bosma, A., Goss, WM. and Allen, RJ. 1981. The giant spiral galaxy M 101. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 93:106-112.

Bottema, R., Pestaña, JLG., Rothberg, B. and Sanders, RH. 2002. MOND rotation curves for spiral galaxies with Cepheid-based distances. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 393(2):453-460. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/10.1051/0004-6361:20021021

Braine, J., Combes, F. and van Driel, W. 1993. NGC

4414: A flocculent galaxy with a high gas surface density. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 280(2):451-467.

Broeils, AH. 1992. The mass distribution of the dwarf spiral NGC 1560. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 256(1):19-32.

Brownstein, JR. and Moffat, JW. 2006. Galaxy rotation curves without nonbaryonic dark matter. The Astrophysical Journal. 636(2):721-741. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/498208 Carignan, C. and Freeman, KC. 1985. Basic parameters of dark halos in late-type spirals. The Astrophysical Journal. 294(2):494-501. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/163316

Catinella, B., Giovanelli, R. and Haynes, MP. 2006. Template rotation curves for disk galaxies. The Astrophysical Journal. 640(2):751-761. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/500171.

Ceccarelli, ML., Valotto, C., Lambas, DG., Padilla, N., Giovanelli, R. and Haynes, M. 2005. Galaxy peculiar velocities and infall onto groups. The Astrophysical Journal. 622(2):853-861. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/428107.

Chincarini, G. and de Souza, R. 1985. Optical studies of galaxies in clusters. I - Observations of hydrogen deficient galaxies. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 153(1)218-234.

Corbelli, E. and Salucci, P. 2000. The extended rotation curve and the dark matter halo of M_{33} . Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 311(2):441-447. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2000.03075.x.

Courteau, S. 1997. Optical rotation curves and linewidths for Tully-Fisher applications. The Astronomical Journal. 114(6):2402-2403. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/118656.

Dale, DA., Giovanelli, R., Haynes, MP., Hardy, E. and Campusano, LE. 2001. Signatures of galaxy-cluster interactions: Spiral galaxy rotation curve asymmetry, shape, and extent. The Astronomical Journal. 121(4):1886-1892. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/319962.

Fathi, K., van de Ven, G., Peletier, RF., Emsellem, E., Falcón-Barroso, J., Cappellari, M. and de Zeeuw, T. 2005. A bar signature and central disc in the gaseous and stellar velocity fields of NGC 5448. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 364(3):773-782. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09648.x.

Fay, S. 2004. Scalar fields properties for flat galactic rotation curves. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 413(3):799-805. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20031540.

Ferrarese, L. 2002. Beyond the bulge: A fundamental relation between supermassive black holes and dark matter halos. The Astrophysical Journal. 578(1):90-97. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/342308.

Ferrarese, L. and Merritt, D. 2002. Supermassive black holes. Physics World. 15(6):41-46. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-7058/15/6/43.

Fillmore, JA., Boroson, TA. and Dressler, A. 1986. Internal kinematics of spiral galaxies: gas and stellar rotation curves and dispersion profiles. The Astrophysical Journal. 302:208-233. Freedman, WA., Madore, BF., Gibson, BK., Ferrarese, L., Kelson, DD., Sakai, S., Mould, JR., Kennicutt, RC. (Jr.), Ford, HC., Graham, JA., Huchra, JP., Hughes, SMG., Illingworth, GD., Macri, LM. and Stetson, PB. 2001. Final results from the *Hubble Space Telescope* key project to measure the Hubble constant. The Astrophysical Journal. 553(1):47-72. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/320638.

García-Ruiz, I., Sancisi, R. and Kuijken, K. 2002. Neutral hydrogen and optical observations of edge-on galaxies: Hunting for warps. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 394(3):769-789. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020976.

Garrido, O., Marcelin, M. and Amram, P. 2004. GHASP: an H α kinematic survey of spiral and irregular galaxies – III. 15 new velocity fields and study of 46 rotation curves. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 349(1):225-239. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07483.x.

Ghez, AM., Morris, M., Becklin, EE., Tanner, A. and Kremenek, T. 2000. The accelerations of stars orbiting the Milky Way's central black hole. Nature. 407:349-351. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35030032.

Goodman, J. 2000. Repulsive dark matter. New Astronomy. 5(2):103-107. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1384-1076(00)00015-4.

Gottesman, ST., Davies, RD. and Reddish, VC. 1966. A neutral hydrogen survey of the southern regions of the Andromeda Nebula. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 133(4):359-387. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/133.4.359.

Guhathakurta, P., van Gorkom, JH., Kotanyi, CG., Balkowski, C. 1988. A VLA H I survey of the Virgo cluster spirals. II. Rotation curves. The Astronomical Journal. 96(3):851-866. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/114851.

Hodge, JC. 2006. Scalar potential model of redshift and discrete redshift. New Astronomy. 11(5):344-358. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newast.2005.09.002.

Hu, FX., Wu, GX., Song, GX., Yuan, QR. and Okamura, S. 2006. Orientation of galaxies in the local supercluster: A review. Astrophysics and Space Science. 302(1-4):43-59. DOI: 10.1007/s10509-005-9006-7.

Huchtmeier, WK. 1975. Rotation-curves of galaxies from 21 cm-line observations. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 45(2):259-268.

Hudson, MJ., Smith, RJ., Lucey, JR. and Branchini, E. 2004. Streaming motions of galaxy clusters within 12 000 km s⁻¹ – V. The peculiar velocity field. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 352(1):61-75. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07893.x.

Jog, CJ. 1997. Dynamics of orbits and local gas stability in a lopsided galaxy. The Astrophysical Journal. 488(2):642-651. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/304721.

Jog, CJ. 2002. Large-scale asymmetry of rotation curves in lopsided spiral galaxies. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 391(2):471-479. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020832.

Knapen, JH., Cepa, J., Beckman, JE., Soledad del Rio, M. and Pedlar, A. 1993. Star formation in the spiral arms of NGC 4321. II. H i distribution and kinematics. The Astrophysical Journal. 416:563-575. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/173258.

Kornreich, DA., Haynes, MP., Lovelace, RVE. and van Zee, L. 2000. Departures from axisymmetric morphology and dynamics in spiral galaxies. The Astronomical Journal. 120(1):139-164. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/301422.

Kornreich, DA., Haynes, MP., Jore, KP. and Lovelace, RVE. 2001. Asymmetry in isolated, morphologically normal Sa galaxies. The Astronomical Journal. 121(3):1358-1368. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/319402

Krumm, N. and Salpeter, EE. 1979. Neutral hydrogen observations of 14 nearly edge-on spiral galaxies. The Astronomical Journal. 84(8):1138-1148. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/112521.

Lilje, PB., Yahil, A. and Jones, BJT. 1986. The tidal velocity field in the local supercluster. The Astrophysical Journal. 307:91-96. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/164395.

Liszt, HS. and Dickey, JM., 1995. HI emission from the Seyfert galaxies NGC 4051 and NGC 6814. The Astronomical Journal. 110(3):998-999. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/117579.

Macri, LM., Stetson, PB., Bothun, GD., Freedman, WL., Garnavich, PM., Jha, S., Madore, BF. and Richmond, MW. 2001. The discovery of Cepheids and a new distance to NGC 2841 using the *Hubble Space Telescope*. The Astrophysical Journal. 559(1):243-259. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/322395.

Mbelek, JP. 2004. Modelling the rotational curves of spiral galaxies with a scalar field. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 424(3):761-764. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20040192.

McGaugh, S. 1999. How galaxies don't form: The effective force law in disk galaxies. In: Galaxy Dynamics. A Rutgers Symposium. ASP Conference Series Proceedings. Vol. 182. Eds. Merritt, DR., Valluri, M. and Sellwood, JA. pp528.

Merritt, D. and Ferrarese, L. 2001. Relationship of black holes to bulges. In: The Central Kpc of Starbursts and AGNs. Eds. Knapen, JH., Beckman, JE., Shlosman, I. and Mahoney, TJ. Rutgers Astrophysics Preprint Series No. 321. pp29. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.astroph/0107134.

Moffat, JW. 2005. Gravitational theory, galaxy rotation curves and cosmology without dark matter. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics. 2005(5):003. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/05/003.

Moore, EM. and Gottesman, ST. 1998. A neutral hydrogen study of the barred spiral galaxy NGC 3319. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 294(3):353-372. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-8711.1998.01078.x.

Narlikar, JV. 2002. An Introduction to Cosmology. 3rd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. pp560.

Padmanabhan, T. and Choudhury, TR. 2002. Can the clustered dark matter and the smooth dark energy arise from the same scalar field? Physical Review D. 66(8):081301(R). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.081301.

Pereira, MJ. and Kuhn, JR. 2005. Radial alignment of cluster galaxies. The Astrophysical Journal. 627(1):L21-L24. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/432089.

Persic, M., Salucci, P. and Stel, F. 1996. The universal rotation curve of spiral galaxies - I. The dark matter connection. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 281(1):27-47. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/278.1.27.

Pizzella, A., Corsini, EM., Bontà, ED., Sarzi, M., Coccato, L. and Bertola, F. 2005. On the relation between circular velocity and central velocity dispersion in high and low surface brightness galaxies. The Astrophysical 631(2):785-791. Journal. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/430513.

Rejkuba, M. 2004. The distance to the giant elliptical galaxy NGC 5128. Astronomy and Astrophysics. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-413(3):903-912. DOI: 6361:20034031.

Roscoe, DF. 2002. Discrete dynamical classes for galaxy discs and the implication of a second generation of Tully-Fisher methods. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 385:431-453. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020166.

Rots, AH. 1975. Distribution and kinematics of neutral hydrogen in the spiral galaxy M81. II. Analysis. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 45:43-55.

Rubin, VC., Burstein, D., Ford, WK. (Jr.) and Thonnard, N. 1985. Rotation velocities of 16 SA galaxies and a comparison of Sa, SB and SC rotation properties. The Astrophysical Journal. 289:81-104. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/162866.

Sanders, RH. 2006. MOND and cosmology. In: Mass Profiles and Shapes of Cosmological Structures. EAS Publications Series. Vol. 20. Eds. Mamon, GA., Combes, F., Deffayet, C. and Fort, B. 231-238.

Sanders, RH. and McGaugh, SS. 2002. Modified Newtonian dynamics as an alternative to dark matter. Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics. 40:263-DOI: 317.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093923.

Schödel, R., Ott, T., Genzel, R., Hofmann, R., Lehnert, M., Eckart, A., Mouawad, N., Alexander, T., Reid, MJ., Lenzen, R., Hartung, M., Lacombe, F., Rouan, D., Gendron, E. et al. 2002. A star in a 15.2-year orbit around the supermassive black hole at the centre of the Milky Way. Nature. 419:694-696. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01121.

Sempere, MJ., Garcia-Burillo, S., Combes, F. and Knapen, JH. 1995. Determination of the pattern speed in the grand design spiral galaxy NGC 4321. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 296:45-63.

Shane, WW. and Bieger-Smith, GP. 1966. The galactic rotation curve derived from observations of neutral hydrogen. Bulletin of the Astronomical Institutes of the Netherlands. 18:263-292.

Sofue, Y. and Rubin, V. 2001. Rotation curves of spiral galaxies. Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics. 39:137-174. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.39.1.137.

Sofue, Y., Tutui, Y., Honma, M., Tomita, A., Takamiya, T., Koda, J. and Takeda, Y. 1999. Central rotation curves of spiral galaxies. The Astrophysical Journal. 523(1):136-146. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/307731.

Steinmetz, M. and Navarro, JF. 2002. The hierarchical origin of galaxy morphologies. New Astronomy. 7(4):155-160. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1384-1076(02)00102-1.

Swaters, RA., Schoenmakers, RHM., Sancisi, R. and van Albada, TS. 1999. Kinematically lopsided spiral galaxies. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 304(2):330-334. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02332.x.

Tadross, AL. 2003. Metallicity distribution on the galactic 8(8):737-744. disk. New Astronomy. DOI: 10.1016/S1384-1076(03)00062-9.

Takamiya, T. and Sofue, Y. 2000. Radial distribution of the mass-to-luminosity ratio in Spiral galaxies and massive dark cores. The Astrophysical Journal. 534(2):670-683. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/308770.

Trujillo, I., Carretero, C. and Patiri, SG. 2006. Detection of the effect of cosmological large-scale structure on the orientation of galaxies. The Astrophysical Journal. 640(2):L111-L114. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/503548.

Tully, RB. and Fisher, JR. 1977. A new method of determining distances to galaxies. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 54(3):661-673.

van Albada, GD. and Shane, WW. 1975. High-resolution neutral hydrogen observations of NGC 4258. Astronomy and Astrophysics. 42(3):433-439.

van Albada, TS., Bahcall, JN., Begeman, K. and Sancisi, R. 1985. Distribution of dark matter in the spiral galaxy NGC 3198. The Astrophysical Journal. 295(Part 1):305-313. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/163375.

Vollmer, B., Cayatte, V., Boselli, A., Balkowski, C. and Duschl, WJ. 1999. Kinematics of the anemic cluster galaxy NGC 4548. Is stripping still active? Astronomy and Astrophysics. 349:411-423.

Weinberg, MD. 1995. Production of Milky Way structure by the Magellanic clouds. The Astrophysical Journal. 455(1):L31-L34. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/309803.

Whitmore, BC., Forbes, DA. and Rubin, VC. 1988. Rotation curves for spiral galaxies in clusters. II. Variations as a function of cluster position. The Astrophysical Journal. 333(Part 1):542-560. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/166765.

Will, CM. 2018. Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics. 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom. pp360.

Willick, JA. 1999. The Las Campanas/Palomar 10,000 kilometer per second cluster survey. I. Properties of the Tully-Fisher relation. The Astrophysical Journal. 516(1):47-61. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/307108.

Received: April 12, 2022; Accepted: May 18, 2022

Copyright©2022, John C. Hodge. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

